

PART B – Your Response

For office use only

Agent number:

Representor number:

Representation number:

Document details and nature of response:	
Which document are you commenting on? (please tick)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Draft Bourn Airfield SPD <input type="checkbox"/> Sustainability Appraisal / Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening Report <input type="checkbox"/> Equalities Impact Assessment
Section (Please state)	2.4
Do you Support, Object or have Comments? (Please tick)	<input type="checkbox"/> SUPPORT <input type="checkbox"/> OBJECT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COMMENT
Reason for SUPPORT, OBJECT or COMMENT: Please give details to explain why you support, object or have comments on the draft Bourn Airfield SPD, Sustainability Appraisal / Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening Report or Equalities Impact Assessment (continue on separate A4 sheets(s) if necessary). Please use a separate response form for each section you are commenting on.	
<p>As with any major development proposal concern arises about the impact on, and provision of infrastructure and, in South Cambridgeshire, particularly in relation to transport. This is confounded by the plethora of proposals to improve public transport along the A428 corridor, about which, as recognised in the SPD, a great deal has yet to be finalised; in the main they also focus on links between major settlements such as Bedford toward Cambridge and largely ignore the impact on and needs of local villages. The question must be raised about whether it makes sense to push ahead with building houses on such a scale until such issues are resolved and until the infrastructure promised by the developers is in place.</p> <p>Whatever improvements in public transport do occur, implicitly the SPD recognises that travel by car will continue (indeed, the gradual increase in electric vehicles may even sustain existing levels of car use). However, it is said that there is no strategic case for direct access to the A428 from the new village. Yet, in the absence of such access, it is inevitable that traffic will seek to flow along the St Neots Road from the new village toward Cambridge/the M11. Similarly, insufficient thought seems to have been given to egress from the new village for traffic wishing to join the A1198.</p> <p>The growth of the housing agglomeration between West Cambourne and Highfield Caldecote provides the rationale for a station to serve it if the northern route for the Bedford-Cambridge Rail link goes ahead. To best serve communities clearly this should be south of A428; any proposal to locate it north of A428 would rekindle interest in and spur the development of another major housing development ('Harborne') and encourage traffic from a wider area to rat run through local villages to reach it.</p>	
Summary of Comments: If your comments are longer than 100 words, please summarise the main issues raised.	